

GENERAL INFOR	MATION												
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ COM		RECONNA	AISS/	ANCE [] ER	u foli	OW UP	ОРЕ	RATO	r request		OTHER	
FACILITY NAME (LLC Maschhoff - New I		Partnersh	ip, s	ole propi	rietors	ship, et	c.)		INSP 2/20	ECTION DAT D/13	Έ	ARRIVAL TIN 8:45 AM	1E
ADDRESS 17000 St Rt 127								INSPECTOR(s) Brian Rodely			DEPARTURE TIME 10:30 AM		
CITY Hoyleton				STATE ZIP CO 62803					COMPANIED BY (if applicable) uce Rodely				
COUNTY SECTION TOWNSH Washington 7 1S			HIP RANGE POLIT 2W Hoyle				FICAL TOWNSHIP eton				PRECIPITATION TYPE None		
Facility Owner(s):	NAME							TACTED	PHOI			OBILE	
Exemption 6 and Exemption 7(C)		offs					⊠ YE	S NO		on 6 and Exemption 7			
	ADDRESS	a 6 and	Ev	omotio	n 7/	CITY			S	STATE	ZIF	CODE	
	Exemption	i o anu	ĽΧ	emplio	11 7 (<u>(</u>)	CONTA	CTED	DUÓ	NE.		MODILE	
	NAME						CONTA		PHOI			MOBILE	
	ADDRESS					CITY			S	STATE	ZIF	CODE	
Facility	NAME					•	CONTA		PHOI			MOBILE	
Operator(s):	Art Braunm	eir					⊠ YE	S NO	Exer	nption 6 an	d E>	kemption 7(C)
Exemption 6 and Exemption 7(C)	ADDRESS					CITY			S	STATE	ZIF	CODE	
	NAME							TACTED	PHOI			MOBILE	
	John Kroeg	er					⊠ YE	S ∐ NO	Exe	mption 6	and	Exemption	17(C)
	ADDRESS S					CITY			S	STATE	ZIF	CODE	
NPDES PERMIT	INFORMAT	TION (If	no	NPDES	Per	mit, s	kip th	is sectio	n)				
1. What type of I	NPDES perm I NPDES Peri		en i	_	ener	al NPC	ES Per	mit				NPDES #	
2. What date was	s the NPDES	permit is	ssue	ed?							1		
3. What date doe	s the NPDES	permit	expi	ire?									
4. Is a copy of th												YES	NO
5. Permitted num													
						NO							
7. Have there be							a since	the perm	nit wa	is issued?	Ш	YES	NO
If "YES", provi	de a detalled	a descrip	tion	or those	e cna	nges.							
None													

Facility Name: Maschhoff - New Minden Site Inspection Date: 2/20/13 Page 2/8

LAND APPLICATION/NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT		
1. How many TOTAL acres are available for land application? acres		
2. How many acres are READILY available for land application at the time of inspection?	1435	acres
3. Estimated annual quantities of liquid waste gallons		
4. Estimated annual quantities of solid waste tons		
5. Does the facility have a contractor perform land application? If "YES", Name of Contractor:	YES	⊠ NO
6. What type of land application equipment is available to the facility?	1	.
□ Umbilical Injection □ Honeywagon Injection □ Honeywagon Surface □ Irrig	ation	
☐ Rotational Gun ☐ Manure Spreader ☐ Vegetative Filter ☐ Other		
7. Does the facility calibrate the land application equipment? If "YES", What method is used? Manufacturer Recommendation	⊠ YES	□ NO
8. Does the facility land apply within the 150 foot setback from any water well? If "YES", Explain	YES	⊠ NO
9. Does the facility land apply within the 200 foot setback from any surface water? If "YES", Explain	YES	⊠ NO
10. Does the facility land apply near any residences? If "YES", Explain Neighbor to field	⊠ YES	□ NO
11. Is livestock waste transferred off-site to another party?		□ NO
If "YES", Are records of manure transfers kept?	⊠ YES	□ NO
If "YES", Ask to see records	5-4	
12. Does the facility have a current NMP or CNMP? If "YES", Does the facility maintain a copy of the nutrient management plan (NMP) onsite?	YES YES	□ NO □ NO
13. Does the NMP reflect the current operational characteristics (number of animals, cropping, etc.)?	⊠ YES	□ NO
14. Are the number of acres owned/leased consistent with those in the NMP?		□ NO
15. Is manure and wastewater being applied in accordance with setback/buffer requirements of the NMP?	⊠ YES	□ NO
16. Are all of the records identified in the NMP being maintained and kept current?		□ NO
17. Are records being maintained at the required frequency?		□ NO
18. Are records being maintained onsite for the period required by NMP and/or NPDES permit?		□ NO
19. Is the NMP adequately addressing the storage, handling and application of manure and wastewater to prevent discharges to waters of the U.S.?	⊠ YES	□ NO

Facility Name: Maschhoff - New Minden Site Inspection Date: 2/20/13 Page 3/8

	ESTOCK FACILITY DESCRIPT		Animal	Type of Confinement		NI		of
туре	e of Animals	Number of Animals (currently)	Animal Capacity	Type of Confinement				er of ures
SWIN	NE > 55 LBS	<u> </u>	19200	TOTAL CONFINEMENT BD	G	6		
		1				1		
		1				-		
		1				+		
		_	 					
		_	<u> </u>					
Door	the facility have an Illinois Cortific	d Livestock Ma	200 (200	ar areator animal unite\2	III NI/A IV	VEC	$\overline{\Box}$	NO
	the facility have an Illinois Certified the facility have an Illinois Certified the facility have a support of the facility have an Illinois Certified the facility have a support of the facility have a				□ N/A ⊠			NO NO
_	e management plan?	1000 triair 5000	, a	of does the rading hard a				
	eater than 5000 animal units, has	s the facility su	ibmitted a w	aste management plan to	□ N/A ⊠	YES		NO
	for review?			Charles and the same and the same and the	1.1	\ <u>\</u>	 	NO.
	the facility have any other locati are is shared, or where the other				,	YES		NO
addre	esses below.			•				
	Minden and Georgia sites ar dabove.	e considered	1 site for	the plan, and land appli	cation			
liste	a above.							
LIVE	ESTOCK WASTE STORAGE							
1.	Does the facility have any exist	ting livestock v	waste conta	nment system? XES	□ NO			
	If NO, then proceed to questio	n 10.						
2.	General description of the wast	te containment	t system (in	clude solid and liquid manu	re handling,	morta	lity,	and
	feed storage areas).							-
	Underfloor deep pits and wa	atertight dur	npsters in	dead shed for mortalitie	es.			

Facility Name: Maschhoff - New Minden Site Inspection Date: 2/20/13 Page 4/8

Type of Storage		Total Storage Capacity (Specify Units)					
	Anaerobic Lagoon						
	Covered Lagoon						
	Holding Pond						
	Above Ground Storage Tank ("Slurrystore")						
	Below Ground Storage Tank						
	Settling Basin						
	Roofed Storage Shed						
	Concrete Pad						
	Impervious Soil Pad						
	Underfloor Pits	6,900,000 gallons					
	Anaerobic Digester						
	Manure Stacks						
	Vegetative Filter						
	Other						
Ш	None						
3.	Do the storage structures have depth markers	s or staff gauges? 🛛 YES 🔲 NO					
4.	Are levels of manure in the storage structures	recorded and records kept? XES NO					
5.	Do the storage structures have adequate freeboard? YES NO						
6.	Estimated final stage storage structure freeboard <u>60</u> in. of total depth <u>96</u> in.						
7.	Do facility personnel perform routine visual inspections of the storage structures? 🖂 YES 🔲 NO						
8.	Are the routine visual inspections documented	d? ⊠ YES □ NO					
9.	Does the system have an outfall or discharge	point? YES NO					
	If "YES", please provide a description (overflo discharge). None	w pipe, spill way, etc. Include a description the area receiving the					
10.	Are there any portions of the production area	where runoff is not controlled? YES NO					
	If "YES", provide a detailed description of the None	area(s) of concern:					
МО	RTALITIES MANAGEMENT						
1.	How are mortalities managed? (Composted, Rendered by Darling.	buried, burned, rendering service, other)					
2.	Are mortalities documented and are records k	tept? 🛛 YES 🗌 NO					

Facility Name: Maschhoff - New Minden Site Inspection Date: 2/20/13 Page 5/8

FAC	CILITY WATER SOURCES
1.	What type of method is used to provide drinking water for the animals?
	☐ Overflow waters ☐ Tip Tanks ☐ Nipple waters ☐ Water Bowls ☐ Other
2.	How is the water for animals obtained? ☐ Community PWS ☐ On-Site Well ☐ On-Site Impoundment ☐ Other
3.	Is a mist cooling system used? ✓ YES ✓ NO How is mist water contained? Drains to the pit.
DAI	RY OPERATION (If No Dairy, skip this section)
1.	How many times per day are cows milked?
2.	Describe how the dairy's non-contact cooling water is contained (Example: it is reused for drinking water for the animals). None
3.	Describe how the milking parlor is cleaned (hose or flush) and where the process wastewater goes and how it is contained. None
4.	Describe how the tank(s) are washed and where the process wastewater goes and how it is contained. None
5.	Describe where process wastewater from the plate cooler goes and how it is contained. None
BEC	DDING (If No Bedding, skip this section)
1.	Describe what type of bedding is used for the animals. None
2.	Describe how bedding is collected and how often. None
3.	What is done with the used bedding? Reused Land Applied

Facility Name: Maschhoff - New Minden Site Inspection Date: 2/20/13 Page 6/8

MAI	NURE COLLECTION
1.	How is manure collected?
	□ Under Floor Pit
	☐ Scraped: ☐ Automatic ☐ Manual
	☐ Flush
	☐ Solids Separator
	☐ Other:
	None
2.	If manure collection system uses either clean or reused water to flush, describe where this water goes and how it is contained.
	None
	D STORAGE CONTAINMENT
	D STORAGE CONTAINMENT
1.	Describe how feed (silage, hay, etc) is contained.
	Bulk Bins Silege Bit
	☐ Silage Pit ☐ Ag Bags
	☐ Hay: ☐ Barn ☐ Outdoor
	Other:
2.	Describe how feed (silage, hay, etc) runoff is contained.
	Not Applicable – Feed totally enclosed
	Other:
	None
REC	CEIVING SURFACE WATERS
1.	Provide a description of the flow path from the facility to the nearest named surface water.
	Unnamed tributaries and overland flow 1.5 miles north to Coon Creek.
2.	What is the name of the receiving stream?
	Coon Creek
2	Status of the named surface water: 🛛 Intermittent 🗍 Perennial
٥.	Status of the named surface water: Intermittent Perennial
4.	Are any unnatural bottom deposits observed in the receiving stream: YES NO
	If "YES", provide a description of the deposits: None
	11 125 , provide a description of the deposits. Hone

Facility Name: Maschhoff - New Minden Site Inspection Date: 2/20/13 Page 7/8

D	ISCHARGES		
1.	Have there been any documented discharges of livestock waste to surface water <i>in the past year</i> ? If "NO" proceed to question 2.	YES	⊠ NO
	a. If "YES", specify the date(s)		
	b. What was the reason for the discharge?		
	c. Was the discharge the result of a 25 year-24 hour rainfall event?	YES	□ NO
	d. What was the precipitation amount? (if applicable)		
	e. Was IEMA notified of the discharge?	YES	☐ NO
	f. Has the facility taken corrective action to remedy the situation which caused the discharge(s)?	☐ YES	☐ NO
	If "YES", describe actions taken:		
2.	Is the facility currently discharging livestock waste from the production area? If "NO"	☐ YES	NO
۷.	proceed to next section.		NO NO
	a. Was the discharge the result of a 25 year-24 hour rainfall event?	YES	☐ NO
	b. What was the precipitation amount? (if applicable)		
	c. What is the reason for the discharge?		
	d. Were water quality samples taken?	YES	☐ NO
	e. If "YES", how many?		
	f. What parameter(s) tested? pH Ammonia Nitrate Nitrite Pho Total Susp Solids Fecal Diss O ₂ Other Other	sphorus	☐ BOD ₅
BI	OSECURITY - Inspection Activities		
1.	Were biosecurity measures discussed with the facility prior to inspection?		☐ NO
2.	Has there been 24-hours downtime between inspections for all IEPA personnel present?		□ NO
3.	Was the order of inspection conducted from high risk to low risk?	YES	□ NO
4.	Did all personnel stay outside livestock management and livestock waste handling facilities as defined in 35 IAC 501.285 and 35 IAC 501.300? If "YES" skip to question 7.	⊠ YES	□ NO
B 1	OSECURITY - Personal Protection Equipment		
5.	Was sanitary footwear donned prior to entering the livestock management/waste handling facility(s)?	⊠ YES	□ NO
	Were disposable coveralls donned prior to entering the livestock management/waste handling facility(s)?	☐ YES	□ NO
7.	Was sanitary footwear used during the inspection?		□ NO
8.	Was disposable sanitary outerwear disposed at the facility?	YES	⊠ NO

BIOSECURITY - Vehicle 9. Was the vehicle parking location discussed with the facility prior to inspection? ⊠ YES NO 10. Was the vehicle washed since the inspection prior to current? If "YES" skip to question 12. X NO 11. Was the vehicle parked >300-feet from the livestock management/waste NO handling facility? Explain where vehicle was parked: 12. Was IEPA vehicle used on site? \bowtie NO ☐ YES 13. Was facility vehicle used on site? ⊠ YES \Box NO **BIOSECURITY – Inspection Equipment** 14. Was all equipment wiped down with anti-bacterial wipes? ⊠ YES NO 15. Was sample cooler kept inside vehicle during inspection? If "YES" skip guestion 16. NO 16. Was sample cooler wiped down with antibacterial wipes before placing back into N/A ☐ YES vehicle? **OTHER COMMENTS/NOTES** Facility had a whey line break on the west end of the New Minden north barn that drained into the limestone parking lot and had pooled at the southwest end of the lot. The facility dug a pit at the southwest corner of the parking lot to collect whey. Fresh water was used to flush the affected area of the limeston lot draining to the temporary pit and pumped to the waste handling pits of the buildings. Approximately 250-500 gallons of whey feed was released from the barn into the parking lot. There was no feed enter any ditches or drain beyond the limestone lot. The entire site was inspected and no discharge was noted from the barns, mortality shed, or feed storage. Secondary containment is used around the 20,000 gallon whey feed tank. Mortalities are stored in a watertight bin located inside a dead shed. Art Braundmeier called the Marion Regional office on 02/15/13 to report the incident and was advised to call in the livestock waste release to IEMA and follow up with the 5-day written report. IEMA report #H-2013-0149 was generated from the call placed on 2/15/13 and the 5-day written report was sent on 02/20/13. Check all attachments: Narrative **Photos** Site Plan Sample Results **INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE** REPORT DATE 03-13-13 Cc: BOW/DWPC/RU Attachments:

Inspection Date: 2/20/13

Revised March 2012

Page 8/8

Facility Name: Maschhoff - New Minden Site